UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/707,017 | 11/14/2003 | Michael Zrubek | 800725 | 1016 |
| | 7590 02/25/200 SELL & RUSSELL, P | EXAMINER | | |
| 4807 SPICEWO | OOD SPRINGS ROAD | | PHAM, HUNG Q | |
| BUILDING TWO SUITE 250 AUSTIN, TX 78759 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2169 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/25/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/707,017 | ZRUBEK ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| HUNG Q. PHAM | 2169 | |
| | | |

| | LILING O DUANA | 0400 | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | HUNG Q. PHAM | 2169 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | lress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>17 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | vhich places the r (3) a Request | | |
| periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection | | | | |
| | - | in the final rejection, whi | chavoris lator In | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| • | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee be action; or (2) as | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | duaina ar aimhalifuina t | ha iaayaa far | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appear by materially rec | auding or simplifying the | ne issues for | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | _ | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-36</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| HUNG Q. PHAM | /HUNG Q. PHAM/ | | | | |
| Primary Examiner | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2169 | | | |
| Art Unit: 2169 | , | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added features in claims 1, 2, 17, 18, 29 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search .